

<b>DCRP</b>		<b>Subject:</b>	<b>GCRP WG GC0118 P28</b>
<b>Day After Report</b>		<b>Author:</b>	<b>Alan Creighton</b>
<b>DCRP_18_06_03</b>		<b>Date:</b>	<b>5 September 2018</b>

Gents

Below is my DAR from the GC118 re EREC P28 .

- Basically we're copied to Table 2 and Table 4 from P28 /2 and the associated definitions to minimise the references to P28 and also clarifies that we're only looking at adopting the the values in P28 rather than where / when its applied.
- No changes to P28 / 2 are proposed

Other interesting snippets highlighted in yellow

Regards Alan

<b>Attendees:</b>		<b>Apologies:</b>
Rob Wilson	NGET	David Spillett
Alan Creighton	Northern Powergrid	
Matthew Bent	NGET Code Admin Chair	
Simon Sheridan	NGET	
Emma Hart	NGET Tech Sec	
Isaac	SP Generation	
Greg Heavans	NGET	
Ben Gommershall	NGET	
Andy Hood	WPD	
Alastair Frew	Generator / SP	
Steve Mould	UKPN	
Farooze	NGET	
Mark Horricks		
Muhamed Ali	TNEI	

DCRP		Subject:	GCRP WG GC0118 P28
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
DCRP_18_06_03		Date:	5 September 2018

Issue	Paper No:	Summary
1 Welcomes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
2 Review of presentation		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review of the presentation NGET made at GCRP</li> <li>Was a GCRP and DCRP joint WG originally</li> </ul>
3 Discussion		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The focus is on whether the GCode is OK to accept the new P28 rather than to propose changes to P28 itself</li> <li>Main issue relates to CC6.1.7</li> <li>Question related to when P28 applies – or the rules that apply. Agreed that we're looking at the rules</li> <li>NGET take the GCode limits as being the planning limits and the NGET operating limits – there is some confusion in the GCode about planning and operational requirements.</li> <li>The draft text was wordsmithed which hopefully DS as the proposer is happy with. It will come out for comment.</li> <li>Basically we're copied to Table 2 and Table 4 from P28 /2 and the associated definitions to minimise the references to P28 and also clarifies that we're only looking at the values in P28 rather than where/when &amp; to who it applies its applied. These points haven't changed – just that the requirements are less onerous.</li> </ul>
4 Next Steps		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NGET to specifically ask the Scottish TOs and Offshore TOs if they are OK to adopt / refer to P28/2</li> <li>Circulate the WG report and draft legal text (based on GCode thata wipp be published on Friday</li> <li>Webex to finalise the WG report</li> <li>CA consultation – on that basis that there could be a further CA consultation if required</li> </ul>