

## Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

### DCRP/22/04/PC: Engineering Report (ERE) 130 Issue 4 – Guidance on the application of Engineering Recommendation P2, Security of Supply

Stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views or providing any further evidence on any of the matters contained within the consultation document. Stakeholders are invited to supply the rationale for their responses to the set questions.

Please send your responses and comments by **17:00, 29<sup>th</sup> July 2022** to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org) and please title your email 'Consultation Response DCRP/22/04/PC Engineering Report (ERE) 130 Issue 4 – Guidance on the application of Engineering Recommendation P2, Security of Supply' Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Working Group.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to DCode Administrator on 020 7706 5105, or to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org)

<b>Respondent</b>	<i>Name</i>
<b>Company Name</b>	
<b>No. of DCode Stakeholders Represented</b>	
<b>Stakeholders represented</b>	<i>Please list all Stakeholder names responding on behalf of (including the respondent company if relevant).</i>
<b>Role of Respondent</b>	<i>Eg Distributor/Supplier/Generator/ Consolidator / Exemptible Generator / BSC Agent / Party Agent / Distributor / other – please state <sup>1)</sup></i>
<b>We intend to publish the consultation responses on the DCode website. Do you agree to</b>	

<sup>1</sup> Delete as appropriate – please do not use strikethrough, this is to make it easier to analyse the responses

## Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

this response being published on the DCode website? [Y/N]	
---	--

	Question	Response
Q1	Do you agree with the general intent of the proposed modification? If not, please explain your view.	
Q2	Do you believe that the proposed modifications, as set out in the DCRP/22/04/PC Consultation Pack, better facilitate the Applicable Distribution Code Objectives?	
Q3	Do you agree that it is reasonable not to restore 1.2MW (increased from 1MW) of customer demand following an outage in certain defined scenarios.	
Q4	Do you have any other relevant comments?	

# Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of the proposed modifications<sup>2</sup>

Page / line No	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph Figure/ Table	Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial)	COMMENTS	Proposed change	OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT on each comment submitted
						See comments above.

---

<sup>2</sup> Add more rows if required