

# Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

## DCRP/21/01/PC: G98 / G99 Minor Technical Modification

Stakeholders are invited to respond to this consultation, expressing their views or providing any further evidence on any of the matters contained within the consultation document. Stakeholders are invited to supply the rationale for their responses to the set questions.

Please send your responses and comments by **17:00, 19<sup>th</sup> March 2021** to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org) and please title your email 'Consultation Response DCRP/20/06/PC DCode Storage Modification. Please note that any responses received after the deadline may not receive due consideration by the Working Group.

Any queries on the content of the consultation pro-forma should be addressed to DCode Administrator on 020 7706 5105, or to [dcode@energynetworks.org](mailto:dcode@energynetworks.org)

<b>Respondent</b>	Alan Creighton
<b>Company Name</b>	Northern Powergrid
<b>No. of DCode Stakeholders Represented</b>	
<b>Stakeholders represented</b>	Distribution Network Operator
<b>Role of Respondent</b>	Senior Smart Grid Development Engineer
<b>We intend to publish the consultation responses on the DCode website. Do you agree to this response being published on the DCode website? [Y/N]</b>	Y

## Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

	Question	Response
Q1	Do you agree with the general intent of the proposed modification? If not, please explain your views.	Yes
Q2	If you have any detailed comments on the proposed drafting, please provide those comments in the proforma provided, or by marking up the consultation drafts of G98 and/or G99.	<p>We have made a small number of comments in the proforma below and, in addition, there are a small number of minor editorial points included in the marked version of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EREC G98;</li> <li>• EREC G99; and</li> <li>• The Distribution Code</li> </ul>
Q3	Do you have any comments in respect of the inclusion of the references to cyber security.	No
Q4	Do you agree that the proposed modifications satisfy the applicable Distribution Code objectives? If not, please explain your concerns.	Yes

# Distribution Code Consultation Response Proforma

Please provide comments relating to the specific technical content of the proposed modifications<sup>1</sup>

## Distribution Code

Page / line No	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph Figure/ Table	Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial)	COMMENTS	Proposed change	OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT on each comment submitted
	DDRC6		Technical	Would it be possible to add the Energy Storage Capacity of a storage device as a Standard Planning Data item into the DDRC Schedules 5 to align with Embedded Capacity Register reporting requirements and also with the proposed revisions to the application forms in EREC G98 & EREC G99 the proposed updated the ENA Standard Application Forms.		
Several			Editorial	Please see the attached marked up version		

## EREC G99

Page / line No	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph Figure/ Table	Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial)	COMMENTS	Proposed change	OBSERVATIONS OF THE SECRETARIAT on each comment submitted
54	6.3		General	In a future version of EREC G99, there may be merit in trying to add further clarity of the different models required for PGMs and which need to be verified e.g. reference is made to 'detailed models', 'simulation models' and 'control system and prime mover models'.		
Several			Editorial	Please see the attached marked up version		

---

<sup>1</sup> Add more rows if required