

DCRP		Subject:	Grid Code Review Panel
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
		Date:	22 March 2018

[DCRP_18_03_02a]

All

Below is my DAR from the Grid Code Review Panel meeting.

Key points to note include:

- EU Emergency & Restoration Code. There will be a review of the mapping exercise open to stakeholders. Hopefully there should be something similar for SOGL.
- Some guiding principles developed and applied to assess the prioritisation of the anticipated increase in GCRP work.
- The timeline to complete the GC0104 DCC Modification Proposal is challenging.
- The 5 RES documents that have been discussed during 2017 have been recirculated for a final 20 day consultation period. AMC to review.
- EU RfG implementation. GC100,101 and 102 have been sent to Ofgem. A decision is expected in April.
- EU DCC implementation. WG consultation is due early April

Other interesting snippets highlighted in yellow

Regards Alan

Attendees:		Apologies:	
Panel Members		Panel Members	
Trisha McAuley	Code Admin Chair	Fergus Healy	NGET rep
Alan Creighton	DNO / Northern Powergrid	Steve Cox	DNO / ENW
Damian Jackman	Generator / SSE Generation	Shilen Shah	Ofgem
Alastair Frew	Generator / SP		
Nadir Hafeez	Ofgem		
Kate Dooley	Generator EUK		
Guy Nicholson	Generator Element Power		
Naomi Davies	NGET Code Admin Rep		
Chrissie Brown	NGET Code Admin Rep & Tech Secretary		
Rob Longden	Supplier Cornwall Energy		
Kyla Berry	NGET rep		
Graeme Vincent	Onshore TO / SPT		
Gurpal Singh	Ofgem		

DCRP		Subject:	Grid Code Review Panel
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
		Date:	22 March 2018

[DCRP_18_03_02a]

Nick Ruben	BSC Rep / Elexon	
Observer		
Rob Wilson		
John	NGET	
Joseph Henry	NGET	
Raveena Virk	NGET	

Panel Alternates

John Lucas – BSC Representative
Gordon Kelly SP (2017) and Jim Barber (2018) – DNO Representative
Fergus Healy – NGET Representative
Le Fu – Onshore Transmission Operator
Lisa Waters – Generator
Sigrid Bolik – Generator

Issue	Paper No:	Summary
1 Welcomes		<ul style="list-style-type: none">
2 Minutes of last meeting	PP1 & 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GCRP Notes OK. Some comments from NGET, AMC. Notes will be updated and circulated. SGCRP Notes OK
3 Action log	PP3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 27 Closed 'Materiality' paper now uploaded on website 66 SOGL forward work plan to be presented at the next GCDF. AF has concerns that there is at least one SOGL issue that needs to be resolved by September 18. AF and RW to consider further. There is still some lack of clarity as to who in GB (NGET/Ofgem/BEIS) have responsibility to implement the GU Codes. Some actions fall to NGET SO, others obligations fall to other parties. It's not too clear who is taking overall responsibility. Concern that there may be GCode changes arising from SOGL and E&R. For DCC and RfG this mapping was done via a process open to all stakeholders. NGET are looking to progress this via JESG. AMC to liaise with KB to see how this is going to work via JESG. Agreed that there should be a report back to GCRP re what's discussed at JESG.

DCRP		Subject:	Grid Code Review Panel
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
		Date:	22 March 2018

[DCRP_18_03_02a]

Issue	Paper No:	Summary
4 Prioritisation and horizon scanning	Pr1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strawman principles discussed at the last GCRP. These have been tweaked and applied to the 'in flight' modifications in the spread sheet. Average of 9 WG meetings per Modification View that the number of Modifications will increase - but the info on the graph doesn't seem quite right (as the historic numbers seem too low) and its not clear that the trend will continue. EU Code activity will probably reduce after the next year or so. Expectation that Open Governance will encourage new proposals raised by stakeholders. A prioritisation framework should be helpful even if the number of Mod Proposals doesn't increase. At the moment it's not clear the extent to which resources are constrained. <p>Prioritisation Spread sheet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> P1 – should relate to legal / licence requirements of all Stakeholders. Agreed to tweak the words to reflect this P2 – all proposals should address at least one Grid Code objective – do they all have the same weighting? How a weighting might be assessed? Need to make sure that criteria is not double counted e.g. compliance with EU legislation. P3 – needs to include all stakeholders not just end consumers P4 – point that limited resource was the driver for the whole discussion yet assessing the resource requirement is very subjective and there might be different resource issues for different parties. P5 Spread sheet sorted by implementation date Some thought that a scoring system might help rather than hinder – but this may result in the panel being driven by the metric rather than it being used as guidance. CA confirmed that the intention is that the CA shouldn't restrict CUSC or GCode activities. Next Steps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NGET update the principle and GCRP to see how this works in practice. Generally agreed the ranked priority set out in the spread sheet
5a GC0108 EU Code Emergency and Restoration	PP4 Raveena Virk NGET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revise proposal to change OC5 re the frequency of Black Start Tests The legal text has been revised taking on board the comments from the last meeting ESB view that the 'success time frame' should be as set out in the contract rather than in the GCode. NGET to consider

DCRP		Subject:	Grid Code Review Panel
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
		Date:	22 March 2018

[DCRP_18_03_02a]

Issue	Paper No:	Summary
Black Start testing requirement'		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AF view that the text is such that that the change to Generators shouldn't be material – hence this would proceed under self-governance. The definition of Black Start Capability as defined in the GCode is not quite the same as that as used in the proposed legal text. NGET to consider revising the GCode definition / GC0108 to align. DJ concerned that the proposal might still water down the black start requirement Agreed <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NGET will tweak the legal text and report to pick up the points raised Legal text for the consultation to be agreed by GCRP via email Agreed that this doesn't require a WG and can go directly to CA consultation.
5b GC0108 EU Code Emergency and Restoration GCode Mapping		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> E&R code mapping was last carried out in Jan 2017 NGET will revisit the Code Mapping and report back to the GCRP. This mapping exercise will be made open to other stakeholders. GC0108 is a GCode mod emerging from E&R – the expectation is that there will be others. E&R retrospectively applies the requirements to Black Start Stations – there was concern expressed that Black Start Operators may not be aware of this. System Defence Plan and System Restoration Plans are being circulated for comments via EPMF groups. AMC to see if the System Restoration Plan is available.
6 WG reports		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
7 Report to the Authority		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GC0100-102 are currently with Ofgem and they are looking to make a decision by w/c 16 April. This is outside the 25 day KPI. Ofgem moving to new offices in Canary Wharf over Easter.
8a GC0096 Storage	Pr3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delivery of the WG report and legal text has been impacted by GC100-102. WG consultation now expected in May 2018
8b GC0097 TERRE		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WG considering the consultation responses WG is due to report to panel in April 2018
8c GC0098 Common Grid Model		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modification being revised Will be presented at the April GCDF

DCRP		Subject:	Grid Code Review Panel
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
		Date:	22 March 2018

[DCRP_18_03_02a]

Issue	Paper No:	Summary
8d GC0098 Using GB Grid Code data to construct the EU Common Grid Model in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2015/1222 (CACM) and Regulation (EU) 2016/1719 (FCA).		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ofgem decision to uphold the appeal and quash the GCRP decision NGET looking to update the draft legal text to address Ofgem's concerns ideally for the end of March. This would be presented at the April GCDF, the April GCRP and be issued for CA consultation in April.
8e GC0099 Common Approach to Interconnector Scheduling		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This Modification seeks to introduce the interconnector scheduled transfer process to the Grid Code in order to establish common timings which are compatible with both the EU single intraday market coupling processes, and GB and EU balancing processes. CA consultation Closed 16 March Will be presented at the April GCRP for Panel Recommendation voting
8f GC0100 RfG & HVDC Mod 1		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final Modification Reports were submitted to Ofgem on 20 February 2018.
8g GC0101 RfG & HVDC Mod 2		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See above
8h GC0102 RfG & HVDC Mod 3		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See above
8i GC0103 Harmonised Standards		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial mapping session held on 9 November. The TOs are considering the potentially far reaching implications of implementation the Mod Proposal. This is a significant amount of work and hence it's been challenging to establish the timescale. Workgroup meeting on 27 March Timeline to be agreed

DCRP		Subject:	Grid Code Review Panel
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
		Date:	22 March 2018

[DCRP_18_03_02a]

Issue	Paper No:	Summary
8j GC0104 DCC		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WG consultation closes 29 March WG days 4 & 5 April CA consultation scheduled for a 15 day consultation period GCRP agreed a 1 month extension Ofgem would like the report to Ofgem before the end of June. <p>Suggestion to move the June GCRP forward a week to help get this Mod Prop to Ofgem by the end of June</p>
8j GC0105 System Incidents Reporting		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WG consultation report still being developed. Timeline still to be confirmed
8k GC0106 Data exchange requirements in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/1485 (SOGL)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WG next Wed to agree the WG consultation report associated with some of the articles WG consultation to be issued early April AMC to review the legal text that been circulated
8l GC107 EU network Codes RfG The open, transparent, non discriminatory and timely publication of the generic and/ or PGM specific values required to be specified by the relevant TSO(s) and /		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal put forward by SSE to publish separately in an open and transparent manner the parameters that Relevant System Operators need to specify. Only for RfG at the moment, but it's likely that there will be follow on proposals re DCC at least – a draft has already been prepared. The first Workgroup meeting was held on Monday 18 December 2018 where the Workgroup held discussions on whether the modification is required for EU compliance. All Workgroup members with the exception of the Proposer stated that it was not required for compliance. Timeline not agreed - needs to fall out of the Prioritisation exercise Next meeting to be arranged

DCRP		Subject:	Grid Code Review Panel
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
		Date:	22 March 2018

[DCRP_18_03_02a]

Issue	Paper No:	Summary
or relevant system operator et al., in accordance with the RfG.		
8m GC0108		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See above
8n GC0109 'The open, transparent, non-discriminatory and timely publication of the various GB electricity Warnings or Notices or Alerts or Declarations or Instructions or Directions etc., issued by or to the Network Operator(s)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This issue first arose following discussions at the E3C Communications Task Group during the summer of 2016. The concern relates to visibility to Market Participants of actions taken by Network Operators that may change energy balancing on the system. There are some DNO actions that probably need to be included in the publication eg DNO initiated voltage control / demand control. 4 WG members nominated at the moment: Nordex, Drax, Garth Graham, NGET – so one short to be quorate. Concern that DNOs should be represented. Discuss with DS Concern that other panels eg BSC may need to be involved. Agreed to extend the nomination deadline.
8o Future Modifications		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two future mods raised at the last GCDF <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FFCI to be proposed by ENW LFMS-O to be proposed by Centrica There is likely to be a sister Mod Prop made by SSE along the lines of GC0107, but for DCC
10 Draft Final Mod Report		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
11 RES		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two batches of RES have been circulated recently: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One relating to RfG – AMC provided comments on this already The other relating to the original outstanding 5 RES documents that have been circulated for some time. AMC to review.

DCRP		Subject:	Grid Code Review Panel
Day After Report		Author:	Alan Creighton
		Date:	22 March 2018

[DCRP_18_03_02a]

Issue	Paper No:	Summary
12 Governance		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
13 GCDF & WG Day		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GCDF 4 April WG day GC0104
14 Report to the Authority		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
15a Code Summary		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> P363 & P364 related to registration of BM units BSC sandbox consultation is currently out with a closing data of 12 April
15b JESG		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OK
16 a SQSS GSR016 – embedded generation assumptions	Pr4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GSR0016 suggested that generation should be treated the same way irrespective of whether it is connected to the distribution system or transmission system Poss future change to the Week 24 submission Will be discussed at the GCDF.
17 AOB		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FFCI expert workgroup (run by NGET Network Capability team) is in the process of being formed which will may in the fullness of time result in Modification Proposal. NG business separation legal text has been circulated informally. These should come back to the GCRP in April. NGET need to think about which version of the GCode will be used as the baseline. Concern about whether there are / should be TO parts of the. GCode which is effectively a SO document. There is a review of CA Performance and a survey being initiated.
18 Next Meeting		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26 April venue TBC